



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,515 06/25/2003		Paul Petrus	15685P213	5235	
45222	7590 10/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARRAYCOMM/BLAKELY			AJIBADE AKONAI, OLUMIDE		
12400 WILSH SEVENTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2617		
		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006		5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/606,515	PETRUS, PAUL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olumide T. Ajibade-Akonai	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2006. (a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 25-27,36,37,44 and 45 is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 28-35,38-43 and 46-48 is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. I. Id to. In election requirement. In election objected to by the light drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the light drawing(s) is objected to by the light drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/606,515

Art Unit: 2617

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 25, 36 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bringby et al 6,283,883 (hereinafter Bringby) in view of Itoh et al "Performance of Handoff Algorithm Based on Distance and RSSI Measurements" (hereinafter Itoh).

Regarding **claim 25**, Bringby discloses a method for facilitating handover between a base station pair (originating and neighbor BS's, see col. 3, lines 61-67, col. 4, lines 1-8) in a communication system comprising: computing a cost function (hysteresis plus RSSI_orig, see col. 4, lines 23-28) for the base station pair (BS's of the originating and neighbor cell, see fig. 3, col. 3, lines 61-67 and col. 4, lines 1-7) dependent on a relative received signal strength (RSSI_orig, see col. 3, lines 23-28) and an adaptive hysteresis factor (hysteresis level, see col. 4, lines 23-28, 39-50 and col. 5, lines 1-16); selecting a base station from the pair dependent on the cost function (handoff to a neighbor BS is initiated if the RSSI_orig plus hysteresis is lower than the RSSI_neighbor, see col. 4, lines 23-28).

Bringby fails to disclose selecting a base station from the pair of base stations dependent on the cost function and a second factor, wherein the second factor is either base station load or physical distance between a user terminal and the base station.

In the same field of endeavor, Itoh discloses selecting a base station from the pair of base stations dependent on the cost function (inherent, since measured signal strength from a serving base station in a cell A is compared the signal strength of a base station in an adjacent cell B to see if the signal strength from the adjacent station exceeds that of the serving base station by a hysteresis value h, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 1-10) and a second factor (measured distance, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 10-15), wherein the second factor is either base station load or physical distance between a user terminal and the base station (measured distance, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 10-15).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Itoh into the system of Bringby for the benefit of reducing average handoff delay and the average number of handoffs in a mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 36**, Bringby discloses a machine-readable medium having stored thereon a set of machine-executable instructions (mobile station, MS, see col. 2, line 56-58) that, when executed by a data-processing system, cause the system to perform a method for facilitating handover between a base station pair (originating and neighbor BS's, see col. 3, lines 61-67, col. 4, lines 1-8) in a communication system

Art Unit: 2617

comprising: computing a cost function (hysteresis plus RSSI_orig, see col. 4, lines 23-28) for the base station pair based on a relative received signal strength (RSSI_orig, see col. 3, lines 23-28) and an adaptive hysteresis factor (hysteresis level, see col. 4, lines 23-28, 39-50 and col. 5, lines 1-16); selecting a base station from the pair dependent on the cost function (handoff to a neighbor BS is initiated if the RSSI_orig plus hysteresis is lower than the RSSI_neighbor, see col. 4, lines 23-28).

Bringby fails to disclose selecting a base station from the pair dependent on the cost function and a second factor, wherein the second factor is either base station load or physical distance between a user terminal and the base station.

In the same field of endeavor, Itoh discloses selecting a base station from the pair of base stations dependent on the cost function (inherent, since measured signal strength from a serving base station in a cell A is compared the signal strength of a base station in an adjacent cell B to see if the signal strength from the adjacent station exceeds that of the serving base station by a hysteresis value h, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 1-10) and a second factor (measured distance, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 10-15), wherein the second factor is either base station load or physical distance between a user terminal and the base station (measured distance, see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 10-15).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Itoh into the system of Bringby for the benefit of reducing average handoff delay and the average number of handoffs in a mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 44**, Bringby discloses a processing unit for facilitating handover between a base station pair in a communication system (inherent, since it is well known for a mobile station, MS, to have a CPU, controller or processing unit to accomplish the tasks of receiving the signal strength from the originating and neighbor base stations and calculating the hysteresis factor, see col. 2, line 56-58), comprising: a base station selection unit to select a base station dependent on the inputs from a received signal strength measurement (RSSI) unit (inherent, since it is well known for a mobile station, MS, to have a CPU, controller or processing unit to accomplish the tasks of receiving the signal strength from the originating and neighbor base stations and calculating the hysteresis factor, and based on the calculated hysteresis factor plus the RSSI, initiating a handoff, see col. 2, 56-58, col. 4, lines 1-28), an adaptive hysteresis calculation unit (see col. 4, lines 23-28).

Bringby fails to disclose a distance calculation unit.

In the same field of endeavor, Itoh discloses a distance calculation unit (see fig. 1(a), p.1461, lines 1-15).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Itoh into the system of Bringby for the benefit of reducing average handoff delay and the average number of handoffs in a mobile communication system.

4. Claims 26 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bringby et al 6,283,883 (hereinafter Bringby) in view of Itoh et al "Performance"

Art Unit: 2617

of Handoff Algorithm Based on Distance and RSSI Measurements" (hereinafter Itoh), as applied to claims 25 and 36 above, and further in view of Watters et al 20010002822 (hereinafter Watters).

Regarding **claim 26**, as applied to claim 25, Bringby discloses the claimed invention except wherein the physical distance is derived from a propagation delay determined from a relative time-of-arrival of a broadcast message transmitted from the base station synchronized according to a common timing reference.

In the same field of endeavor, Watters discloses wherein the physical distance is derived from a propagation delay determined from a relative time-of-arrival of a broadcast message transmitted from the base station synchronized according to a common timing reference (calculation of distance using signal transmitted from base station, see fig. 3, p.3, [0021]-[0022]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Watters into the system of Bringby et al for the benefit of providing a mobile terminal with a GPS receiver for determining location.

Regarding **claim 37**, as applied to claim 36, Bringby discloses the claimed invention except wherein the physical distance is derived from a propagation delay determined from a relative time-of-arrival of a broadcast message transmitted from the base station synchronized according to a common timing reference.

In the same field of endeavor, Watters discloses wherein the physical distance is derived from a propagation delay determined from a relative time-of-arrival of a broadcast message transmitted from the base station synchronized according to a

Application/Control Number: 10/606,515

Art Unit: 2617

common timing reference (calculation of distance using signal transmitted from base station, see fig. 3, p.3, [0021]-[0022]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Watters into the system of Bringby et al for the benefit of providing a mobile terminal with a GPS receiver for determining location.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bringby** et al 6,283,883 (hereinafter Bringby) in view of **Itoh** et al "*Performance of Handoff Algorithm Based on Distance and RSSI Measurements*" (hereinafter Itoh), as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of **Akopian et al 6,466,164 (hereinafter Akopian)**.

Regarding **claim 27**, as applied to claim 25, Bringby discloses the claimed invention except wherein the physical distance is derived from a time-of-arrival of a time-stamped message transmitted from the base station.

In the same field of endeavor, Akopian teaches wherein the physical distance is derived from a time-of-arrival of a time-stamped message transmitted from the base station (see col. 1, lines 13-39).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Akopian into the system of Bringby for the benefit of determining the pseudorange from a receiver to a beacon that transmits a ranging signal.

6. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bringby** et al 6,283,883 (hereinafter Bringby) in view of Itoh et al "*Performance of Handoff*

Algorithm Based on Distance and RSSI Measurements" (hereinafter Itoh) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Hashem et al 20030073455 (hereinafter Hashem).

Regarding **claim 45**, as applied to claim 44, Bringby further discloses wherein the base station selection unit selects the base station dependent on a cost function (handoff to a neighbor BS is initiated if the RSSI_orig plus hysteresis is lower than the RSSI_neighbor, see col. 4, lines 23-28).

Bringby fails to disclose wherein the base station selection unit selects the base station dependent on a base station load input.

In the same field of endeavor, Hashem discloses wherein the selection of a base station is dependent on a base station load input (see fig. 1, p.3, [0007]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Hashem into the system of Bringby for the benefit of providing optimum data communication.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 28-35, 38-43 and 46-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-27, 36-37 and 44-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hamalainen (6,363,252) discloses an advanced method for executing handover.

Ward et al WO 02/030135 A3 discloses an adaptive cellular communication handoff hysteresis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olumide T. Ajibade-Akonai whose telephone number is 571-272-6496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8.30p-5p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OA

Application/Control Number: 10/606,515

Art Unit: 2617

Page 10